Case 3:24-cr-00340-TLT Document 25 Filed 06/20/24 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. 3:24-MJ-70849 (R24-00340-7LT
Digintiff	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
Plaintiff,) v.)	UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
)	AND WAIVER UNDER FRCP 5.1
BRAYAN GALINDO-BORJAS) Defendant(s).	
Dejendani(s).	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on	the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §
Failure to grant a continuance would be I See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ikely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the prose or law, that it is unreasonable to expect a	the number of cution, or the existence of novel questions of fact dequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial y this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	y the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, ligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance would unrecounsel's other scheduled case commitmed See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	easonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given ents, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	easonably deny the defendant the reasonable time g into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the court se paragraph and — based on the parties' sh the time limits for a preliminary hearing	aking into account the public interest in the prompt ets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first nowing of good cause — finds good cause for extending under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the Crim. P. 5-1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	1 De la companya della companya della companya de la companya della companya dell
DATED: July 20, 15/19	
	LAUREL BEELER
	United States Magistrate Judge
STIBLIL A TED.	On a
STIPULATED:	Assistant United States Attorney